## **REMARKS**

Claims 1, 2, 4-24, 41-47, 52-54 and 61 remain in prosecution. Claims 55-60 and 63-65 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer in order to expedite the prosecution of the application. A one month extension of time and appropriate fee are enclosed with this amendment.

A marked-up and replacement sheet for FIG. 6 are enclosed correcting for the 2031 reference numeral objection noted by the Examiner. The specification has been amended to change reference number 212 to 210 in the specification in order to align the discussion in the specification to FIG. 3. It is believed given these amendments, that the noted objections have been overcome.

Claims 1-2, 4-22, 24, 41-47, 52-61 and 63-63 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Bork et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 6,246,376) in view of *Havinis et al.* U.S. Pat. No. 6,360,102 B1). Claim 23 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Bork et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 6,246,k376) in view of *Havinis et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 6,360,102 B1) and further in view of *Hashimoto* GB 2 322 248).

Applicant respectfully traverses the noted rejections.

Taking for example claims 1, 2, 4-9, 12, 14-24 they all recite "the telephone accessing a record of trust relationships regarding the communications system to determine a trust level for the first mobile station". Here the device accessing the trust relationship is the communication device (telephone) that the position information is being requested from. Neither of the cited Havinis et al or the Bork et al references when taken individually or in combination teach or suggest this claim limitation. In the Havinis et al reference which is used to support this claim limitation, it is not the communication device (telephone) that is being asked its position that "accesses a record of trust relationships" as recited in the above claims, but in the case of Havinis et al. it is "either the GMLC (Gateway Mobile Location Center), MLC (Mobile Location Center) or serving MSC/VLR (Mobile Switching Center/Visitor Location Register) can request the SLPP from the MS" (Col. 9, lines 43-47). Given this failure for the cited references to teach or suggest

this limitation, claims 1, 2, 4-9, 12, 14-24 are believed to be in condition for allowance.

In similar fashion to the above limitation in independent claim 1, claims 10-11 recite a similar limitation as above, "the second mobile station determining a trust level that it has in the first mobile station". Claim 13 also recites in part "the second mobile station determining a trust level ...". Claims 41-47 also recite "In a wireless communications second mobile station ..... determining a trust level that the second mobile station has in the first mobile station". Claims 52-54 recite in part "the second mobile station sends its position in response to accessing the memory to determine the level of trust with the first mobile station". Claim 61 also claims "wherein the first mobile station sends its position in response to accessing the memory to determine the second mobile station level of trust". Given that the cited references and in particular the cited Havinis et al. reference fail to teach or suggest a mobile station accessing trust information in order to decide if it sends its position information to another communication device, the remaining claims are also believed to be in condition for allowance in view of the cited references.

Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any questions or comments or otherwise feels it would be helpful in expediting the application, he is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at (972) 731-2288.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any further fees associated with any of the foregoing papers submitted herewith, or to credit any overpayment thereof, to Deposit Account No. 20-0668, Texas Instruments, Inc.

Date

CONLEY ROSE, P.C.

5700 Granite Parkway, Suite 330

Plano, Texas 75024

(972) 731-2288

(972) 731-2289 (facsimile)

Respectfully submitted,

Pedro P. Hernandez

Reg. No. 35,190

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT